### Massive Star Formation: From Testing Basic Formation Scenarios to Quantitative Constraints on Core Accretion Theory



IRDC G28.4 (Cloud C) (Butler & Tan 09)

MYSO G35.2N (De Buizer 06)

Jonathan Tan (University of Florida)



ONC (McCauchrean 01)

SOFIA Massive Star Formation Team: Maite Beltran (Arcetri) James De Buizer (NASA/SOFIA) Ed Churchwell (Wisconsin) Göran Sandell (NASA/SOFIA) Ralph Shuping (NASA/SOFIA) Jan Staff (LSU) Charles Telesco (UF) Barbara Whitney (SSI) Yichen Zhang (UF)

Michael Butler Audra Hernandez (Wisconsin) Yichen Zhang Sourav Chatterjee Peter Barnes Paola Caselli (Leeds), Francesco Fontani (Arcetri)

stronom

Paola Caselli (Leeds), Francesco Fontani (Arcetri) Izaskun Jimenez-Serra (CfA) Christopher McKee (UCB) Francesco Palla (Arcetri) Leonardo Testi (ESO)

# Outline

- Introduction
  - why study massive star formation?
  - why is it difficult?
  - open questions: formation theories
- Initial Conditions
  - Infra-Red Dark Clouds (IRDCs)
  - Massive Starless Cores?
- Massive Protostars
  - Overview of expected properties
  - Radiative transfer modeling
  - SOFIA FORCAST observations of G35.2N

## Why study massive star formation?



The **First (Pop III) Stars** were likely massive, some potentially supermassive stars, reionizing the universe and producing the first metals.





Galaxies form and evolve by forming star clusters, where the influence of massive stars is paramount. Massive stars are what tend to be seen in distant galaxies.





**Planets form** from the crumbs left over from star formation. Planet & star formation in star clusters can be influenced by massive star feedback.





Supermassive black hole formation may be via massive star clusters or Pop III stars. Supermassive black hole accretion is likely to be regulated by star formation.



### Why not to work on massive star formation...

### A complicated, nonlinear process

Physics:

Gravity vs pressure (thermal, magnetic, turbulence, radiation, cosmic rays) and shear.

Heating and cooling, generation and decay of turbulence, generation (dynamo) and diffusion of B-fields, etc. Chemical evolution of dust and gas.

Wide range of scales (~12 dex in space, time) and multidimensional. Uncertain/unconstrained initial conditions/boundary conditions.



Some notation: Core -> star or close binary Clump -> star cluster

### **Massive Star Formation: Open Questions**

- Causation: external triggering or spontaneous gravitational instability?
- Initial conditions: how close to equilibrium?
- Accretion mechanism: [turbulent/magnetic/ thermal-heat]-regulated fragmentation vs competitive accretion
- Timescale: fast or slow (# of dynamical times)?
- End result
  - Initial mass function (IMF)
  - Binary fraction and properties
  - Initial cluster mass function (ICMF)
  - Efficiency and Rate (& relation to galaxy-scale)

How do these properties vary with environment?





Tuesday, November 1, 2011



Tuesday, November 1, 2011



Tuesday, November 1, 2011

# Massive Star Formation Theories

Stahler, Palla, Ho 2000; Beuther, Churchwell, McKee, Tan 2007; Zinnecker & Yorke 2007

#### <u>Core Accretion</u> Myers & Fuller 1992; Caselli & Myers 1995; McLaughlin & Pudritz 1997; Yorke & Sonnhalter 2002; McKee & Tan 2002, 2003; Stars form from "cores", M<sub>core</sub>~2m<sub>\*</sub>, which fragment from the clump

 $\bar{P} = \phi_P G \Sigma^2$ 

If in equilibrium, then self-gravity is balanced by internal pressure: B-field, turbulence, radiation pressure (thermal P is small)

Cores form from this turbulent medium: at any given time there is a small mass fraction in unstable cores. These cores collapse quickly to form individual stars or binaries.

#### Equilibrium Star Cluster Formation

Tan, Krumholz, McKee (2006)

Small SFE per free-fall time ~0.02

Formation time  $\geq$  several to many t<sub>ff</sub>

->Age spreads ~>Myr in clusters

Turbulence maintained by protostellar winds (see also Nakamura & Li 2007)

Core continues to accrete from clump

$$\dot{m}_{\rm acc} = 2.50 \times 10^{-4} \left( \frac{A\phi_{\rho,\rm core} f_g^2 \alpha_{\rm vir} \phi_{\rm grav}^2}{k_P^2 \epsilon_{\rm core}^2 \phi_{\bar{P}}} \right)^{1/2}$$
(54)  
$$\times \left( \frac{m_{*f}}{30 \ M_{\odot}} \right) \left( \frac{M_{\rm cl}}{4000 \ M_{\odot}} \right)^{-1/4} \Sigma_{\rm cl}^{3/4} \ M_{\odot} \ {\rm yr}^{-1}$$
$$\to 7.9 \times 10^{-4} \left( \frac{\phi_{\rm grav}}{1.6} \right) \left( \frac{m_{*f}}{30 \ M_{\odot}} \right) \left( \frac{M_{\rm cl}}{4000 \ M_{\odot}} \right)^{-1/4}$$
$$\times \Sigma_{\rm cl}^{3/4} \ M_{\odot} \ {\rm yr}^{-1} .$$
(55)

Thus, we see that a massive core will tend to interact with clump material at a rate that is comparable to the rate at which it is collapsing or being eroded by star formation,  $\dot{m}_*/\epsilon_{\rm core}$ . This rate is a factor of several smaller in SSCs with  $M_{\rm cl} \sim 10^6 M_{\odot}$ .

# Massive Star Formation Theories

Stahler, Palla, Ho 2000; Beuther, Churchwell, McKee, Tan 2007; Zinnecker & Yorke 2007

### Core Accretion

Myers & Fuller 1992; Caselli & Myers 1995; McLaughlin & Pudritz 1997; Yorke & Sonnhalter 2002; McKee & Tan 2002, 2003; Stars form from "cores", M<sub>core</sub>~2m<sub>\*</sub>, which fragment from the clump



$$\bar{P} = \phi_P G \Sigma^2$$

If in equilibrium, then self-gravity is balanced by internal pressure: B-field, turbulence, radiation pressure (thermal P is small)

Cores form from this turbulent medium: at any given time there is a small mass fraction in unstable cores. These cores collapse quickly to form individual stars or binaries.

### Competitive Accretion

Bonnell, Clarke, Bate, Pringle 2001; Bonnell, Vine, & Bate 2004; Schmeja & Klessen 2004; Wang, Li, Abel, Nakamura 2010; Stars gain most mass by Bondi-Hoyle accretion of ambient gas



Based on simulations including only thermal pressure.

Requires global collapse of clump (Krumholz, McKee & Klein 2005)

### Schematic Differences Between Massive Star Formation Theories Tan (20

Beuther, Churchwell, McKee, Tan (2007); Tan (2008)



# Schematic Differences Between Massive Star Formation Theories

Beuther, Churchwell, McKee, Tan (2007); Tan (2008)



massive-star-forming core [protostar+gravitationally-bound gas]









### Mid-IR Extinction Mapping of Infrared Dark Clouds

(Butler & Tan 2009, 2011; see also Peretto & Fuller 2009; Ragan et al. 2009; Battersby et al. 2010)





Spitzer - IRAC 8µm (GLIMPSE)

#### Mid-IR Extinction Mapping of Infrared Dark Clouds (Butler & Tan 2009, 2011; see also Peretto & Fuller 2009; Ragan et al. 2009; Battersby et al. 2010) G28.37+00.07 Spitzer - IRAC 8µm (GLIMPSE) Median filter for background around IRDC; interpolate for region behind the IRDC 16' Galactic Galactic foreground background **IRDC** Core A $I_{v,1,obs} = I_{v,fore} + I_{v,1}$ $I_{v,1}$ $I_{\nu,0}$ Core B $I_{v,0,obs} = I_{v,fore} + I_{v,0}$ $I_{v,0}$ MJy sr<sup>-1</sup> 100 110 115 95 105 75 80 85 90

Tuesday, November 1, 2011

### Mid-IR Extinction Mapping of Infrared Dark Clouds

(Butler & Tan 2009, 2011; see also Peretto & Fuller 2009; Ragan et al. 2009; Battersby et al. 2010)

#### G28.37+00.07



Spitzer - IRAC 8µm (GLIMPSE)

Median filter for background around IRDC; interpolate for region behind the IRDC

Correct for foreground -> Choose nearby clouds. BT09: analytic model BT11: observed saturation in independent cores

~Arcsecond scale maps of regions up to  $\Sigma$  ~0.5 g cm<sup>-2</sup>; independent of dust temp.

Distance from molecular line velocities  $-> M(\Sigma)$ 

Tuesday, November 1, 2011

## Massive starless cores

Cores with power law density structure

$$\rho_c(r) = \rho_{\rm s,c} \left(\frac{r}{R_{\rm c}}\right)^{-k_{
ho,c}} \qquad k_{
ho,c} = 1.8$$

They contain many thermal Jeans masses.

B-fields may be suppressing fragmentation within the core.

$$M_{\rm BE} = 1.182 \frac{c_{\rm th}^4}{\left(G^3 P_{s,\rm core}\right)^{1/2}} \longrightarrow 0.0504 \left(\frac{T}{20 \text{ K}}\right)^2 \frac{1}{\Sigma_{\rm cl}} M_{\odot}$$

Magnetic Critical Mass (Bertoldi & McKee 1992)

$$M_B = 79 c_{\Phi}^3 \left(\frac{R}{Z}\right)^2 \frac{\bar{v}_A^3}{(G^3 \bar{\rho})^{1/2}} = 1020 \left(\frac{R}{Z}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\bar{B}}{30 \,\mu\text{G}}\right)^3 \left(\frac{10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}}{\bar{n}_{\text{H}}}\right)^2 \quad M_{\odot}$$

 $n_{H} \sim 10^{5} cm^{-3}$ , B~200 $\mu$ G -> M<sub>B</sub>~100 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>

#### Strong magnetic fields in star-forming regions Dec. Offset (deg.) 3 2 5 4 3 \_\_\_\_\_0 Δα (") R.A. Offset (deg.) Correlation of field orientations Girart et al. (2009) Taurus (Heyer et al. 2008) from ~100pc to <1pc scales (Hua-bai Li et al. 2009) $\Sigma = 1$ g cm<sup>-2</sup> Supercritical 0.5 Strength of B–field vs. $\Sigma$ og λ<sub>c</sub> (Crutcher 2005; Subcritica Falgarone et al. 2008) -1 21 21.5 22 22.5 23 23.5 24 log N(H<sub>2</sub>)













Radiative Transfer **Models** Zhang & Tan (2011) see also: Robitaille et al. 2006; Molinari et al. 2008; Johnston et al. 2011 Rotation and outflow axis inclined at 60° to line of sight.

$$\begin{split} \Sigma &= 1 \text{ g cm}^{-2} \\ M_{\text{core}} &= 60 \text{ M}_{\odot} \\ m^{\star} &= 8 \text{ M}_{\odot} \\ m_{\text{disk}} &= m^{\star}/3 \\ L_{\text{bol}} &= 6 \times 10^3 \text{ L}_{\odot} \end{split}$$

Tuesday, November 1, 2011



Radiative Transfer Models Zhang & Tan (2011) see also: Robitaille et al. 2006; Molinari et al. 2008; Johnston et al. 2011 Rotation and outflow axis inclined at 60° to line of sight.

$$\begin{split} \Sigma &= 1 \text{ g cm}^{-2} \\ M_{\text{core}} &= 60 \text{ M}_{\odot} \\ m^{\star} &= 8 \text{ M}_{\odot} \\ m_{\text{disk}} &= m^{\star}/3 \\ L_{\text{bol}} &= 6 \times 10^3 \text{ L}_{\odot} \end{split}$$

Tuesday, November 1, 2011



Tuesday, November 1, 2011

**SEDs** 







IG. 2.—The G35.20–0.74 jet as seen at FIG. 2.—The G35.20–0.74 jet as seen at different wavelengths. (a) The 11.7  $\mu$ m image in false color overlaid with K-band emission from Fuller et al. (2001, te contours) and the 8.5 GHz high-resolution radio continuum emission of Gibb et al. (2003, gray contours). (b) The 18.3  $\mu$ m image in false color overlaid in the low-resolution 15 GHz radio continuum image of Heaton & Little (1988, white contours) and L' image from Fuller et al. (2001, gray contours). (c) Zoom n the central region of the 11.7  $\mu$ m image in false color, the L' contours in white and the high-resolution radio continuum contours in black. The OH masers lutawarakorn & Cohen (1999) are show of Hutawarakorn & Cohen (1999) are show as asterisks, water masers of Forster & Caswell (1989) as crosses, and methanol masers of A. G. Gibb (2006, private munication) as large plus signs. The bars at lower right show the ±1  $\sigma$  relative astrometric uncertainty between the radio continuum and NIR.

rared emission coincident with ainfrared emission ition of G35.2N demonstrates theosition of G35.2 cominated by longer wavelength is dominated by the e, the nature of the infrared enfore, the nature dominantly continuum dust emispredominantly co walls. This cavity was created ity walls. This <u>c</u> ich punched a hole in the demwhich punched a nding the young stellar source at rounding the your e central source is mostly likelyThe central source this cavity. The northern lobe of this cavity. The

#### 1.42e4 MJy/Sr

rely north of ther. If the ~16,000 AU by a B2.6 star. If the dust is made of graphite, n d emission herence of one could heat out to the distance of source 6 with grains havings mission. There- still a typical size of 0.005  $\mu$ m, still near the lower size limit.<sup>e</sup> oncluded to be the aHowever, if silicon carbide is the assumed composition of the he outflow cav-3 out idust, then one can get heating out much farther than source 6, ecular outflow,).003 namely, ~52,000 AU at the 0.003  $\mu$ m lower size limit. There, r material sur-ributions a possibility of some contribution from shock heating, al-g G35.20-0.74 im nethough Fuller et al. (2001) claim no detection of shock-excited ating the wallsof theH<sub>2</sub> in the region. Beaming of the MIR emission along the was found tosotropoutflow axis, rather than the isotropic emission assumed in the,

slightly blueshifted toward Earthe slightly blueshifted toward Earth (i.e., in CÓ by Gibb et al. to helabove calculations, could also help in heating grains farthere by Little et al. 1998)2003; in C i by Little et al. 1998). Given this fortuitous ge-unincout. Interestingly, the MIR luminosity derived from the dust etry, we call set also help in heating grains farthere directly into the outflow cavity as a con-stimacolor temperature gives an estimated value of  $1.6 \times 10^3 L_{\odot}$ . d uence of the clearing away of sequence of the clearing away of material along our line of is all Assuming the MIR luminosity is all the luminosity of the source ht by the outflow itself.



IG. 2.—The G35.20–0.74 jet as seen at FIG. 2.—The G35.20–0.74 jet as seen at different wavelengths. (a) The 11.7  $\mu$ m image in false color overlaid with *K*-band emission from Fuller et al. (2001, *te contours*) and the 8.5 GHz high-resolution radio continuum emission of Gibb et al. (2003, *gray contours*). (b) The 18.3  $\mu$ m image in false color overlaid in the low-resolution 15 GHz radio continuum image of Heaton & Little (1988, *white contours*) and *L'* image from Fuller et al. (2001, *gray contours*). (c) Zoom/St in the central region of the 11.7  $\mu$ m imagin on the central region of the 11.7  $\mu$ m imagin on the central region of the 11.7  $\mu$ m image in false color, the *L'* contours in white and the high-resolution radio continuum contours in black. The OH masers lutawarakorn & Cohen (1999) are shown a saterisks, water masers of Forster & Caswell (1989) as crosses, and methanol masers of A. G. Gibb (2006, private munication) as large plus signs. The bacommunication) as large plus signs. The bacommunication and NIR.

rared emission coincident with an infrared emission attion of G35.2N demonstrates the position of G35.2 cominated by longer wavelength is dominated by to e, the nature of the infrared enfore, the nature dominantly continuum dust emispredominantly co walls. This cavity was created ity walls. This <u>c</u> ich punched a hole in the den which punched a nding the young stellar source at rounding the your e central source is mostly likely The central source this cavity. The northern lobe of this cavity. The

#### 1.42e4 MJy/Sr



slightly blueshifted toward Earthe slightly blueshifted toward Earth (i.e., in CO by Gibb et al. to helabove calculations, could also help in heating grains farther by Little et al. 1998)2003; in C i by Little et al. 1998). Given this fortuitous ge-unincout. Interestingly, the MIR luminosity derived from the dust etry, we call see an estimated value of  $1.6 \times 10^3 L_{\odot}$ . d uence of the clearing away of sequence of the clearing away of material along our line of is all Assuming the MIR luminosity is all the luminosity of the source the by the outflow itself.





In the central region of the 11.7  $\mu$ m image in faise color, the L contours in white and the high-resolution radio continuum contours in black. The off masers lutawarakorn & Cohen (1999) are show of Hutawarakorn & Cohen (1999) are shown as asterisks, water masers of Forster & Caswell (1989) as crosses, and methanol masers of A. G. Gibb (2006, private imunication) as large plus signs. The bacommunication) as large plus signs. The bars at lower right show the  $\pm 1 \sigma$  relative astrometric uncertainty between the radio continuum and NIR.

rared emission coincident with a infrared emission ition of G35.2N demonstrates the position of G35.2 cominated by longer wavelength is dominated by he e, the nature of the infrared enfore, the nature dominantly continuum dust emispredominantly co walls. This cavity was created ity walls. This <u>c</u> ich punched a hole in the den which punched a nding the young stellar source at rounding the youn e central source is mostly likely The central source this cavity. The northern lobe oof this cavity. The

#### 1.42e4 MJy/Sr

ely north of ther. If tho ~16,000 AU by a B2.6 star. If the dust is made of graphite, d emission herence of one could heat out to the distance of source 6 with grains having mission. There- still a typical size of 0.005  $\mu$ m, still near the lower size limit. oncluded to be the aHowever, if silicon carbide is the assumed composition of the he outflow cav-g out idust, then one can get heating out much farther than source 6, ecular outflow,).003 namely, ~52,000 AU at the 0.003  $\mu$ m lower size limit. There r material sur-ribution a possibility of some contribution from shock heating, alf G35.20-0.74 im ncthough Fuller et al. (2001) claim no detection of shock-excited rating the wallsof theH<sub>2</sub> in the region. Beaming of the MIR emission along the other was found tosotropoutflow axis, rather than the isotropic emission assumed in the

slightly blueshifted toward Earthe slightly blueshifted toward Earth (i.e., in CÓ by Gibb et al. to helabove calculations, could also help in heating grains farther by Little et al. 1998)2003; in C i by Little et al. 1998). Given this fortuitous ge-unincout. Interestingly, the MIR luminosity derived from the dust etry, we callest alwest alwest a con-stimate of the clearing away of sequence of the clearing away of material along our line of is all Assuming the MIR luminosity is all the luminosity of the source the by the outflow itself.

## **Extended Faint Emission**

G35 (SOFIA 37 micron)





# Flux Profile Along Outflow Axis

For fiducial model (solid lines) at 2.3kpc, convolved with IRAC and SOFIA beams.



# Flux Profile Along Outflow Axis

For fiducial model (solid lines) at 2.3kpc, convolved with IRAC and SOFIA beams.

Triangles show the observed profiles.



# Flux Profile Along Outflow Axis

For fiducial model (solid lines) at 2.3kpc, convolved with IRAC and SOFIA beams.

Triangles show the observed profiles.

Dashed lines show  $\Sigma = 0.3 \text{ g cm}^{-2}$  model.





## G35.2N is not alone

A number of other ionized HCHIIs seen in nearby sources (e.g. van der Tak & Menten 2005; Guzmán et al. 2010)



### **Conclusions:** The Formation of Massive Stars

### Massive star formation:

• Sometimes appears to be a scaled-up version of low-mass star formation: massive starless cores, ordered B-fields, rotating toroids, some claims of disks, collimated outflows & outflow-confined HII regions.

• "Core Accretion Model" invokes turbulent/magnetic support of rare, massive cores & ~pressure equilibrium w/ surrounding clump.

• Fragmentation inside core may be limited by B-fields, rather than radiative heating.

• Detailed Radiative Transfer modeling and comparison to MIR/FIR observations, including SOFIA-FORCAST, can provide powerful constraints on the Core Accretion model.

#### **Star Cluster Formation:**

- SFE per free-fall time from turbulent gas is low, ~few%.
- Formation of "rich", bound (total SFE >20%) star clusters should take many free-fall times, i.e. >~1Myr.
- Clump reaches ~equilibrium; turbulence maintained by outflows.











Cluster frame proper motions of BN (Gomez et al. 2008; Tan 2008) and  $\theta^{1}$ C (van Altena et al. 1988), tracing back to a common origin about 4500 years ago, shown by the cross.

Close passage of BN within ~300 AU of the KL protostar (source I), 500 years ago, would have tidally perturbed the accretion disk, enhanced accretion, and thus enhanced the outflow (Tan 2004, 2008).

cf. Bally & Zinnecker (2005), who argue for ejection of BN from source I.



large accretion rate may be the result of the recent perturbation of the disk by close passage of BN.