

Response to SUG 4 Recommendations

Erick Young
28 April 2014

SUG 4 Recommendations -1

- 3.1 Policy for finishing high priority observations should be drafted
 - Recommendation to extend Cycle 1 into 2014 was partially implemented with Cycle OC1J with GREAT. Remainder of makeup flights were not accepted because of guidance to prioritize FLITECAM commissioning.
- 3.2 SUG would like to have metrics presentation for future meetings
 - Metrics presentation is included in this meeting
- 3.3 SUG would like a presentation on the long term calibration plan for the WVM
 - Because of unavailability of Tom Roellig, presentation is deferred to next SUG
 - Calibration work described in Vacca & Shuping presentation
- 3.4 Encourage Project to implement non-sidereal modes
 - Done. See presentation by John Rasmussen

SUG Recommendations - 2

- 3.5 Investigate possibility of multiple SI's on a given deployment. Investigate flights to Hawaii and Central and South America as options.
 - Cycle 3 CfP includes possibility of multiple instrument availability for Southern deployment. November occultation opportunity includes a Hawaii stopover. Presentation by Young at this SUG.
- 3.6 Incorporate information about visiting DAOF in visitors' manual
 - See presentation by Sankrit
- 3.7 Project should be conservative in representing SI performance prior to commissioning. Need clear statement of “shared risk.”
 - Process of shared risk observations was well demonstrated during FIFI-LS commissioning and science demonstration series. See presentation by Klein
- 3.8 Science Operations should draft guidelines for enabling “on the fly” changes in observing plans based on guidance from GI
 - Constraints due to the rigid flight scheduling of queue observations significantly limit the ability to make changes in the plans. At best, adjustments in filters and integration times can be allowed only if they do not propagate beyond a given flight leg.

